# APPGATE SDP: MAPPING TO DOD ZTA ADVANCED CONTROLS

This table details how Appgate SDP ZTNA features correspond to specific advanced controls defined by the by the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Zero Trust Architecture (ZTA) framework. This mapping demonstrates Appgate SDP's adherence to mandated federal industry standards and provides a clear overview of how the solution addresses key security requirements outlined by the DoD.



| ID#   | ACTIVITY NAME                                      | ASSOCIATED CAPABILITY   | PHASE                | OUTCOMES  | WHICH PILLAR<br>DOES THIS<br>SUPPORT | APPGATE<br>FULL | APPGATE<br>PARTIAL |
|-------|--|---|----------------------|---|--------------------------------------|-----------------|--------------------|
| 1.2.3 | Rule Based Dynamic Access Pt. 2                    | DoD Organizations expand the development of rules for dynamic access decision making accounting for risk.<br>Solutions used for dynamic access are integrated with cross pillar Machine Learning and Artificial Intelligence<br>functionality enabling automated rule management.   | Advanced Level ZT    | Components and services are fully utilizing rules to enable dynamic access<br>to applications and services; Technology utilized for Rule Based Dynamic<br>Access supports integration with AI/ML tooling  | User                                 | Х               |                    |
| 1.2.4 | Enterprise Roles and Permissions Pt. 1             | DoD Organizations federate remaining user and group attributes as appropriate to the Enterprise Identity,<br>Credential and Access Management (ICAM) solution. The updated attribute set is used to create universal<br>roles for Organizations to use. Core functions of the Identity Provider (IdP) and Identity, Credential and Access<br>Management (ICAM) solutions are migrated to cloud services and/or environments enabling improved resilience<br>and performance.      | Advanced Level ZT    | Component attribute and role data repository federated with enterprise<br>ICAM; Cloud-based enterprise IdP can be used by cloud and on-premises<br>applications; A standardized set of roles and permissions are created and<br>aligned to attributes | User                                 |                 | Х                  |
| 1.2.5 | Enterprise Roles and Permissions Pt. 2             | DoD Organizations move all possible functions of the Identity Provider (IdP) and Identity, Credential and<br>Access Management (ICAM) solutions to cloud environments. Enclave/DDIL environments local capabilities to<br>support disconnected functions but ultimately are managed by the centralized Identity, Credential and Access<br>Management (ICAM) solutions. Updated roles are now mandated for usage and exceptions are reviewed<br>following a risk-based approach.   | Advanced<br>Level ZT | Majority of components utilize cloud IdP functionality Where possible<br>on-prem IdP is decommissioned; Permissions and roles are mandated for<br>usage when evaluating attributes  | User                                 |                 | х                  |
| 1.3.2 | Alternative Flexible MFA Pt. 1                     | DoD Organization's Identity Provider (IdP) supports alternative methods of multi-factor authentication<br>complying with Cyber Security requirements (e.g., FIPS 140-2, FIPS 197, etc.). Alternative tokens can be used<br>for application-based authentication. Multi-Factor options support Biometric capability and can be managed<br>using a self-service approach. Where possible multi-factor provider(s) is moved to cloud services instead of<br>being hosted on-premise. | Advanced<br>Level ZT | IdP provides user self-service alternative token; IdP provides alt token MFA<br>for approved applications per policy  | User                                 | х               |                    |
| 1.3.3 | Alternative Flexible MFA Pt. 2                     | Alternative tokens utilize user activity patterns from cross pillar activities such as "User Activity Monitoring (UAM)<br>and User & Entity Behavior Analytics (UEBA)" to assist with access decision making (e.g., not grant access when<br>pattern deviation occurs). This functionality is further extended onto Biometric enabled alternative tokens as well.   | Advanced Level ZT    | User Activity Patterns Implemented  | User                                 |                 | х                  |
| 1.4.3 | Real Time Approvals & JIT/JEA Analytics Pt. 1      | Identification of necessary attributes (Users, Groups, etc.) are automated and integrated into the Privileged<br>Access Management (PAM) solution. Privilege access requests are migrated to the PAM solution for automated<br>approvals and denials.   | Advanced Level ZT    | Identified accounts, applications, devices, and data of concern (of greatest<br>risk to DoD mission); Using PAM tools, applied JIT/JEA access to high-risk<br>accounts; Privileged access requests are automated as appropriate                       | User                                 |                 | х                  |
| 1.4.4 | Real Time Approvals & JIT/JEA Analytics Pt. 2      | DoD Organizations integrate User & Entity Behavior Analytics (UEBA) and User Activity Monitoring (UAM)<br>solutions with the Privileged Access Management (PAM) solution providing user pattern analytics for decision<br>making.   | Advanced Level ZT    | UEBA or similar analytic system integrated with PAM tools for JIT/JEA account approvals'  | User                                 |                 | х                  |
| 1.5.3 | Enterprise Identity Life-Cycle Management<br>Pt. 2 | DoD Organizations further integrate the critical automation functions of the Identity Provider (IdP) and Identity,<br>Credential and Access Management (ICAM) solutions following the Enterprise Lifecycle Management process to<br>enable Enterprise automation and analytics. Identity Lifecycle Management primary processes are integrated into<br>the cloud-based Enterprise ICAM solution.  | Advanced Level ZT    | Integration w/ Critical IDM/IDP functions; Primary ILM functions are cloud<br>based   | User                                 |                 | х                  |
| 1.5.4 | Enterprise Identity Life-Cycle Management<br>Pt. 3 | DoD Organizations integrate remaining Identity Lifecycle Management processes with the Enterprise Identity,<br>Credential and Access Management solution, Enclave/DDIL environments while still authorized to operate<br>integrate with the Enterprise ICAM using local connectors to the cloud environment.  | Advanced Level ZT    | All ILM functions moved to cloud as appropriate; Integration with all IDM/<br>IDP functions   | User                                 |                 | х                  |
| 1.6.2 | User Activity Monitoring Pt. 1                     | DoD Organizations integrate User & Entity Behavior Analytics (UEBA) and User Activity Monitoring (UAM)<br>solutions with Organizational Identity Providers (IdP) for extended visibility as needed. Analytics and data<br>generated by UEBA and UAM for critical applications/services are integrated with the Just-in-Time and Just-<br>Enough-Access solution improving decision making further.  | Advanced Level ZT    | UEBA is integrated with Org IDPs as appropriate; UEBA is integrated with JIT/<br>JEA for critical services  | User                                 |                 | Х                  |
| 1.6.3 | User Activity Monitoring Pt. 2                     | DoD Organizations continue the analytics usage from User & Entity Behavior Analytics (UEBA) and User Activity<br>Monitoring (UAM) solutions by using generated data for all monitored applications and services when decision<br>making occurs in the Just-in-Time and Just- Enough-Access solution.  | Advanced Level ZT    | UEBA/Entity Monitoring is integrated with JIT/JEA for all services  | User                                 |                 | х                  |
| 1.8.3 | Continuous Authentication Pt. 1                    | DoD Organizations' applications/service utilize multiple session authentications based on security attributes<br>and access requested. Privilege changes and associational transaction requests required additional levels of<br>authentication such as Multi-Factor Authentication (MFA) pushes to users.  | Advanced Level ZT    | Transaction authentication implemented per session based on security attributes   | User                                 |                 | х                  |

| ID#   | ACTIVITY NAME   | ASSOCIATED CAPABILITY   | PHASE             | OUTCOMES  | WHICH PILLAR<br>DOES THIS<br>SUPPORT | APPGATE<br>FULL | APPGATE<br>PARTIAL |
|-------|---|---|-------------------|---|--------------------------------------|-----------------|--------------------|
| 1.8.4 | Continuous Authentication Pt. 2                               | DoD Organizations continue usage of transaction-based authentication to include integration such as user<br>patterns.   | Advanced Level ZT | Transaction authentication implemented per session based on security attributes   | User                                 | х               |                    |
| 1.9.2 | Enterprise PKI/DP Pt. 2                                       | DoD Organizations enable Biometric support in the Identity Provider (IdP) for mission/task-critical applications<br>and services as appropriate. Biometric functionality is moved from Organizational solutions to the Enterprise.<br>Organizational Multi-Factor (MFA) and Public Key Infrastructure (PKI) is decommissioned and migrated to the<br>Enterprise as appropriate.   | Advanced Level ZT | Critical Organizational Services Integrated w/ Biometrics; Decommission<br>organizational MFA/PKI as appropriate in leu of enterprise MFA/PKI;<br>Enterprise Biometric Functions Implemented      | User                                 |                 | х                  |
| 1.9.3 | Enterprise PKI/DP Pt. 3                                       | DoD Organizations integrate the remaining applications/services with Biometrics functionalities. Alternative<br>Multi-Factor (MFA) tokens can be used.  | Advanced Level ZT | All Organizational Services Integrate w/ Biometrics   | User                                 |                 | х                  |
| 2.1.4 | Enterprise IDP Pt. 2  | The DoD Enterprise Identity Provider (IdP) either using a centralized technology or federated organizational<br>technologies adds additional dynamic attributes for NPEs such as location, usage patterns, etc.   | Advanced Level ZT | Conditional device attributes are part of the IdP profile   | Device                               | Х               |                    |
| 2.2.2 | Implement C2C/Compliance Based Network<br>Authorization Pt. 2 | DoD Organizations expand the deployment and usage of Comply to Connect to all supported environments<br>required to meet ZT advanced functionalities. Comply to Connect teams integrate their solution(s) with the<br>Enterprise IdP and Authorization Gateways to better manage access and authorizations to resources.  | Advanced Level ZT | C2C is enforced in all supported environments; Advanced devices checks<br>are completed and integrated with dynamic access (Enterprise IDP / ZTNA)  | Device                               | ×               |                    |
| 2.3.1 | Entity Activity Monitoring Pt. 1                              | Using the developed User and Device baselines, DoD Organizations utilize the implemented User and Entity<br>Behavioral Activity (UEBA) solution to integrate baselines. UEBA device attributes and baselines are available to<br>be used for device authorization detections.   | Advanced Level ZT | UEBA attributes are integrated for device baselining; UEBA attributes are<br>available for usage with device access   | Device                               |                 | х                  |
| 2.3.2 | Entity Activity Monitoring Pt. 2                              | DoD Organizations utilize the User and Entity Behavioral Activity (UEBA) solution with network access solutions to<br>mandate UEBA attributes (e.g., device health, logon patterns, etc.) for accessing environments and resources.   | Advanced Level ZT | UEBA attributes are mandated for device access  | Device                               |                 | х                  |
| 2.3.5 | Fully Integrate Device Security Stack w/ C2C                  | DoD Organizations continue the deployment of Application Control to all environments and in prevention mode.<br>File Integrity Monitoring (FIM) and Application Controls analytics are integrated into Comply to Connect for<br>expanded access decision making data points. Comply to Connect analytics are evaluated for further device/<br>endpoint security stack data points such as UEDM and are integrated as necessary. | Advanced Level ZT | AppControl and FIM deployment is expanded to all necessary services/<br>applications; Remaining data from Device Security tooling is implemented<br>with C2C                                      | Device                               |                 | х                  |
| 2.3.6 | Enterprise PKI Pt. 1  | The DoD Enterprise Public Key Infrastructure (PKI) is expanded to include the addition of NPE and device<br>certificates. NPEs and device that do not support PKI certificates are marked for retirement and decommission<br>starts.  | Advanced Level ZT | Devices that are unable to have certificates are phased out and/or moved to<br>minimal access environments; All devices and NPEs have certs installed for<br>authentication in the Enterprise PKI | Device                               |                 | x                  |
| 2.3.7 | Enterprise PKI Pt. 2  | DoD Organizations utilize certificates for device authentication and machine to machine communications.<br>Unsupported devices complete retirement and exceptions are approved using a risk based methodical<br>approach.   | Advanced Level ZT | Devices are required to authenticate to communicate with other services<br>and devices  | Device                               |                 | х                  |
| 2.4.3 | Managed and Full BYOD & IoT Support Pt. 1                     | DoD Organizations utilize Unified Endpoint and Device Management (UEDM) and similar solutions to enable<br>access for managed and approved devices to Mission and Operational Critical services/applications using<br>dynamic access policies. BYOD and Internet of Things (IoT) devices are required to meet standard baseline<br>checks before authorization.   | Advanced Level ZT | Only BYOD and IOT devices that meet mandated configuration standards<br>allowed to access resources; Critical Services require dynamic access for<br>devices'                                     | Device                               | х               |                    |
| 2.4.4 | Managed and Full BYOD & IoT Support Pt. 2                     | DoD Organizations utilize Unified Endpoint and Device Management (UEDM) and similar solutions to enable<br>access for unmanaged devices meeting device checks and standard baselines. All possible services/applications<br>are integrated to allow access to managed devices. Unmanaged devices are integrated with services/<br>applications based on risk driven methodical authorization approach.                          | Advanced Level ZT | All possible services require dynamic access for devices  | Device                               | x               |                    |
| 2.7.3 | Implement XDR Tools & Integrate w/ C2C Pt. 2                  | XDR solution stack completes identification of integration points expanding coverage to the fullest amount<br>possible. Exceptions are tracked and managed using a risk based methodical approach for continued operation.<br>Extended analytics enabling ZT Advanced functionalities are integrated into the SIEM and other appropriate<br>solutions.  | Advanced Level ZT | Remaining integration points have been integrate as appropriate; Extended<br>alerting and response is enabled with other Analytics tools at least using<br>SIEM                                   | Device                               | x               |                    |

| ID#   | ACTIVITY NAME   | ASSOCIATED CAPABILITY   | PHASE             | OUTCOMES  | WHICH PILLAR<br>DOES THIS<br>SUPPORT | APPGATE<br>FULL | APPGATE<br>PARTIAL |
|-------|---|---|-------------------|---|--------------------------------------|-----------------|--------------------|
| 3.2.4 | Automate Application Security & Code<br>Remediation Pt. 2 | DoD Organizations modernize approaches to delivering internally developed and managed services following<br>best practice approaches such as Microservices. These approaches will enable more resilient and secure<br>architectures by allowing for quicker changes to code in each microservice as security issues are discovered.<br>Further advancement security remediation activities continue across the DoD Enterprise with the inclusion of<br>runtime security functions for containers as appropriate, automated vulnerable library updates and automated CI/<br>CD approvals during the release process. | Advanced Level ZT | Secure API Gateway is operational and majority of API calls are passing<br>through gateway; Services are provided following a Service Oriented<br>Architecture (SOA); Security Remediation activities (e.g., runtime security,<br>library updates, release approvals) are fully automated   | Application &<br>Workload            |                 | Х                  |
| 3.4.3 | Enrich Attributes for Resource Authorization<br>Pt. 1     | Initial attributes from sources such as User and Entity Activity Monitoring, Micro-segmentation services, DLP and<br>DRM are integrated into the Resource Authorization technology stack and policy. Any additional attributes for<br>later integration are identified and planned. Attributes are used to create basic risk posture of users, NPEs and<br>devices allowing for authorization decisions.  | Advanced Level ZT | Most API calls are passing through the Secure API Gateway; Resource<br>Authorization receives data from Analytics Engine; Authorization policies<br>incorporate identified attributes in making authorization decisions; Attributes<br>to be used for initial enrichment are identified; Identified attributes are<br>assigned to resources and/or entities | Application &<br>Workload            |                 | x                  |
| 3.4.4 | Enrich Attributes for Resource Authorization<br>Pt. 2     | Extended identified attributes are integrated with the resource authorization technology and policy. Confidence<br>scoring is introduced across the attributes to create a more advanced method of authorization decision making<br>in an automated fashion.  | Advanced Level ZT | Authorization policies incorporate confidence levels in making authorization<br>decisions; Confidence levels for attributes are defined   | Application &<br>Workload            | х               |                    |
| 3.4.5 | REST API Micro-Segments                                   | Using the DoD Enterprise approved API gateway(s), application calls are micro-segmented only allowing<br>authenticated and authorized access to specific destinations (e.g., microservices). When possible, API Micro-<br>Segmentation consoles are integrated and aware of other Micro-Segmentation consoles such as Software<br>Defined Perimeter Controllers and/or Software Defined Networking Consoles.  | Advanced Level ZT | Approved Enterprise APIs are Micro-Segmented appropriately  | Application &<br>Workload            |                 |                    |
| 3.5.1 | Continuous Authorization to Operate (ATO)<br>Pt. 1        | DoD Organizations utilize automation solutions within the environment to standardize the monitoring of controls<br>and offer the capability to identify deviations. Where appropriate monitoring and testing is integrated with<br>DevSecOps processes.   | Advanced Level ZT | Controls derivation is standardized and ready for automation; Controls testing is integrated with DevSecOps processes and technology  | Application &<br>Workload            |                 | х                  |
| 3.5.2 | Continuous Authorization to Operate (ATO)<br>Pt. 2        | DoD Organizations fully automate control derivation, testing and monitoring processes. Deviations are<br>automatically tested and resolved using existing cross pillar automation infrastructure. Dashboarding is used to<br>monitor the status of authorizations and analytics are integrated with the responsible authorizing officials.  | Advanced Level ZT | Controls testing is fully automated; Integration with standard IR and SOC<br>operations is automated; Control derivation and applicability is fully<br>automated; Dashboards are used to track continuing authorization status  | Application &<br>Workload            |                 | х                  |
| 4.3.3 | Manual Data Tagging Pt. 2                                 | DoD organizational specific data level attributes are integrated into the manual data tagging process. DoD<br>enterprise and organizations collaborate to decide which attributes are required to meet ZTA advanced<br>functionality. Data level attributes for ZTA advanced functionality are standardized across the enterprise and<br>incorporated   | Advanced Level ZT | Manual data tagging is expanded to the program/org levels with specific attributes  | Data                                 |                 |                    |
| 4.3.4 | Automated Data Tagging & Support Pt. 1                    | DoD Organizations use data loss prevention, rights management, and/or protection solutions to conduct<br>scanning of data repositories. Standardized tags are applied to supported data repositories and data types.<br>Unsupported data repositories and types are identified.   | Advanced Level ZT | Basic automation begins by scanning data repositories and applying tags   | Data                                 |                 | x                  |
| 4.3.5 | Automated Data Tagging & Support Pt. 2                    | Remaining supported data repositories have basic and extended data tags which are applied using machine<br>learning and artificial intelligence. Extended data tags are applied to existing repositories. Unsupported<br>data repositories and data types are evaluated for decommissioning using a fisk based methodical approach.<br>Approved exceptions utilize manual data tagging approaches with data owners and/or custodians to manage<br>tagging.  | Advanced Level ZT | Full automation of data tagging is completed; Results of data tagging are fed<br>into ML algorithms to develop AI driven data tagging   | Data                                 |                 | x                  |
| 4.4.5 | Database Activity Monitoring                              | DoD Organizations procure, implement, and utilize Database Monitor solutions to monitor all databases<br>containing regulated data types (CUI, PII, PHI, etc.). Logs and analytics from the database monitoring solution<br>are fed to the SIEM for monitoring and response. Analytics are fed into cross pillar activities such as "Enterprise<br>Security Profile" and "Real Time Access" to better direct decision making.   | Advanced Level ZT | Appropriate Database are being actively monitored; Monitoring technology<br>is integrated with solutions such as SIEM, PDP and Dynamic Access Control<br>mechanisms   | Data                                 |                 |                    |
| 4.4.6 | Comprehensive Data Activity Monitoring                    | DoD Organizations expand monitoring of data repositories including databases as appropriate based on a<br>methodical risk approach. Additional data attributes to meet the ZT Advanced functionalities are integrated into<br>the analytics for additional integrations.  | Advanced Level ZT | Data Activity monitoring mechanisms are integrated to provide a unified<br>view of monitoring across data repositories; Appropriate integrations exist<br>with solutions such as SIEM and PDP   | Data                                 |                 |                    |

| ID#   | ACTIVITY NAME   | ASSOCIATED CAPABILITY  | PHASE             | OUTCOMES   | WHICH PILLAR<br>DOES THIS<br>SUPPORT | APPGATE<br>FULL | APPGATE<br>PARTIAL |
|-------|---|--|-------------------|--|--------------------------------------|-----------------|--------------------|
| 4.5.4 | DRM Enforcement via Data Tags and Analytics<br>Pt. 2          | Extended data repositories are protected with DRM and Protection solutions. DoD Organizations implement<br>extended data tags applicable to organizations versus mandated enterprise. Data is encrypted in extended<br>repositories using additional tags.   | Advanced Level ZT | All applicable data repositories are protected using DRM; Data is encrypted<br>using extended data tags from the org levels  | Data                                 |                 |                    |
| 4.5.5 | DRM Enforcement via Data Tags and Analytics<br>Pt. 3          | DRM and Protection solutions integrate with AI and ML tooling for encryption, rights management and protection functions.  | Advanced Level ZT | Analytics from ML/AI are integrated with DRM to better automate<br>protections; Encryption protection is integrated with AI/ML and updated<br>encryption methods are used as needed  | Data                                 |                 |                    |
| 4.6.3 | DLP Enforcement via Data Tags and Analytics<br>Pt. 2          | Data loss prevention (DLP) solution is updated to include extended data tags based on parallel Automation<br>activities.   | Advanced Level ZT | Enforcement points have extended data tag attributes applied for additional<br>prevention  | Data                                 |                 |                    |
| 4.6.4 | DLP Enforcement via Data Tags and Analytics<br>Pt. 3          | Data loss prevention (DLP) solution is integrated with automated data tagging techniques to include any missing<br>enforcement points and tags.  | Advanced Level ZT | Automated tagging attributes are integrated with DLP and resulting metrics<br>are used for ML  | Data                                 |                 |                    |
| 4.7.2 | Integrate DAAS Access w/ SDS Policy Pt. 2                     | DoD Organizations implement the DAAS policy in an automated fashion.   | Advanced Level ZT | Attribute based fine-grained DAAS Policy implemented in an automated fashion   | Data                                 |                 | х                  |
| 4.7.3 | Integrate DAAS Access w/ SDS Policy Pt. 3                     | Newly implemented SDS technology and/or functionalities are integrated with the DAAS policy in a risk-based<br>fashion. A phased approach should be taken to during implementation to measure results and adjust accordingly.  | Advanced Level ZT | SDS is integrated with DAAS policy functionality; all data in all applications<br>are protected with attribute based fine- grained DAAS policy   | Data                                 |                 | х                  |
| 4.7.5 | Integrate SDS Solution(s) & Policy w/<br>Enterprise IDP Pt. 2 | Newly implemented SDS technology and/or functionalities are integrated with the Enterprise Identity Provider<br>(IdP) following the integration plan. Identity attributes required to meet ZT Target functionalities are required for<br>integration.  | Advanced Level ZT | Complete integration with Enterprise IDP and SDS tooling to support all<br>attribute based fine-grained DAAS access  | Data                                 |                 | х                  |
| 4.7.6 | Integrate SDS Tool and/or Integrate with<br>DRM Tool Pt. 1    | Depending on the need for a Software Defined Storage tool, a new solution is implemented or an existing<br>solution is identified meeting the functionality requirements to be integrated with DLP, DRM/Protection, and ML<br>solutions.   | Advanced Level ZT | If tooling is needed ensure there is supported integrations with DLP, DRM and ML tooling $% \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{S}}$  | Data                                 |                 | ×                  |
| 4.7.7 | Integrate SDS Tool and/or Integrate with<br>DRM Tool Pt. 2    | DoD Organizations configure the SDS functionality and/or solution to be integrated with the underlying DLP<br>and DRM/Protection infrastructure as appropriate. Lower-level integrations enable more effective protection<br>and response.   | Advanced Level ZT | Integrate SDS infrastructure with existing DLP and DRM infrastructure  | Data                                 |                 |                    |
| 5.2.4 | Network Asset Discovery & Optimization                        | DoD Organizations automate network asset discovery through the SDN infrastructure limiting access to devices<br>based on risk based methodical approaches. Optimization is conducted based on the SDN analytics to improve<br>overall performance along with provide necessary approved access to resources.   | Advanced Level ZT | Technical Refreshment/Technology Evolution; Provide Optimization/<br>Performance Controls  | Network                              |                 |                    |
| 5.2.5 | Real-Time Access Decisions                                    | SDN Infrastructure utilizes cross Pillar data sources such as User Activity Monitoring, Entity Activity Monitoring,<br>Enterprise Security Profiles and more for real-time access decisions. Machine learning is used to assist decision<br>making based on advanced network analytics (full packet capture, etc.). Policies are consistently implemented<br>across the Enterprise using unified access standards. | Advanced Level ZT | Analyze SIEM Logs with Analytics Engine to Provide Real-Time Policy Access<br>Decisions; Support Sending Captured Packets, Data/Network Flows, and<br>other Specific Logs for Analytics; Segment End-to-End Transport Network<br>Flows; Audit Security Policies for Consistency across Enterprise; Protect<br>Data-in-Transit During Coalition Information Sharing | Network                              |                 | x                  |
| 5.4.3 | Process Micro-segmentation                                    | DoD Organizations utilize existing micro-segmentation and SDN automation infrastructure enabling process<br>micro-segmentation. Host-level processes are segmented based on security policies and access is granted using<br>real-time access decision making.   | Advanced Level ZT | Segment Host-Level Processes for Security Policies; Support Real-Time<br>Access Decisions and Policy Changes; Support Offload of Logs for Analytics<br>and Automation; Support Dynamic Deployment of Segmentation Policy   | Network                              |                 |                    |
| 6.1.4 | Enterprise Security Profile Pt. 2                             | The minimum number of Enterprise Security Profile(s) exist granting access to the widest range of DAAS across<br>Pillars within the DoD Organizations. Mission/task organization profiles are integrated with the Enterprise<br>Security Profile(s) and exceptions are managed in a risk based methodical approach.  | Advanced Level ZT | Enterprise Profile(s) have been reduced and simplified to support widest<br>array of access to DAAS; Where appropriate Mission/Task Critical profile(s)<br>have been integrated and supported Organization profiles are considered<br>the exception  | Automatiion &<br>Orchestration       | х               |                    |
| 6.2.3 | Enterprise Integration & Workflow Provisioning<br>Pt. 2       | DoD Organizations integrate remaining services to meet baseline requirements and advanced ZTA functionality<br>requirements as appropriate per environment. Service provisioning is integrated and automated into workflows<br>where required meeting ZTA target functionalities.  | Advanced Level ZT | Services identified; Service provisioning is implemented   | Automatiion &<br>Orchestration       |                 | ×                  |

| ID#   | ACTIVITY NAME                                       | ASSOCIATED CAPABILITY  | PHASE             | OUTCOMES  | WHICH PILLAR<br>DOES THIS<br>SUPPORT | APPGATE<br>FULL | APPGATE<br>PARTIAL |
|-------|---|--|-------------------|---|--------------------------------------|-----------------|--------------------|
| 6.4.1 | Implement AI Automation Tool                        | DoD Organizations identify areas of improvement based on existing machine learning techniques for Artificial<br>Intelligence. Al solutions are identified, procured, and implemented using the identified areas as requirements.   | Advanced Level ZT | Develop AI Tool Requirements; Procure and Implement AI Tools  | Automatiion &<br>Orchestration       |                 |                    |
| 6.4.2 | Al Driven by Analytics decides A&O<br>modifications | DoD Organizations utilizing existing machine learning functions implement and use AI technology such as neural<br>networks to drive automation and orchestration decisions. Decision making is moved to AI as much as possible<br>freeing up human staff for other efforts. Utilizing historical patterns, AI will make anticipatory changes in the<br>environment to better reduce risk.  | Advanced Level ZT | Al is able to make changes to automated workflow activities   | Automatiion &<br>Orchestration       |                 |                    |
| 6.5.3 | Implement Playbooks                                 | DoD organizations review all existing playbooks to identify for future automation. Existing manual and automated<br>processes missing playbooks have playbooks developed. Playbooks are prioritized for automation to be<br>integrated with the Automated Workflows activities covering Critical Processes. Manual processes without<br>playbooks are authorized using a risk based methodical approach.   | Advanced Level ZT | When possible automated playbooks based on automated workflows<br>capability; Manual Playbooks are developed and implemented                  | Automatiion &<br>Orchestration       |                 | х                  |
| 6.7.3 | Workflow Enrichment Pt. 3                           | DoD organizations use final enrichment data sources on basic and extended threat response workflows.   | Advanced Level ZT | Enrichment data has been identified; Enrichment data is integrated into<br>workflows  | Automatiion &<br>Orchestration       |                 | х                  |
| 6.7.4 | Automated Workflows                                 | DoD organizations focus on automating Security Orchestration, Automation and Response (SOAR) functions and<br>playbooks. Manual processes within security operations are identified and fully automated as possible. Remaining<br>manual processes are decommissioned when possible or marked for exception using a risk based approach.   | Advanced Level ZT | Workflow processes are fully automated; Manual Processes have been<br>identified; Remaining Processes are marked as exceptions and documented | Automatiion &<br>Orchestration       |                 | х                  |
| 7.2.3 | Threat Alerting Pt. 3                               | Threat Alerting is expanded to include advanced data sources such as Extended Detection & Response (XDR),<br>User & Entity Behavior Analytics (UEBA), and User Activity Monitoring (UAM). These advanced data sources are<br>used to develop improved anomalous and pattern activity detections.   | Advanced Level ZT | Identify Triggering Anomalous Events; Implement Triggering Policy   | Visibility &<br>Analytics            |                 | х                  |
| 7.4.2 | Baseline & Profiling Pt. 2                          | DoD Organizations expand baselines and profiles to include unmanaged and non-standard device types<br>including Internet of Things (IoT) and Operational Technology (OT) through data output monitoring. These<br>devices are again profiled based on standardized attributes and use cases. Analytics are updated to consider the<br>new baselines and profiles accordingly enabling further detections and response. Specific risky users and devices<br>are automatically prioritized for increased monitoring based on risk. Detection and response are integrated with<br>cross pillar functionalities. | Advanced Level ZT | Add threat profiles for IoT and OT devices; Develop and extend analytics;<br>Extend threat profiles to individual users and devices           | Visibility &<br>Analytics            |                 |                    |
| 7.4.3 | UEBA Baseline Support Pt. 1                         | User & Entity Behavior Analytics (UEBA) within DoD Organizations expands monitoring to advanced analytics<br>such as Machine Learning (ML). These results are in turn reviewed and fed back into the ML algorithms to improve<br>detection and response.   | Advanced Level ZT | Implement ML-based Analytics to detect anomalies  | Visibility &<br>Analytics            |                 |                    |
| 7.4.4 | UEBA Baseline Support Pt. 2                         | User & Entity Behavior Analytics (UEBA) within DoD Organizations completes it expansion by using traditional<br>and machine learning (ML) based results to be fed into Artificial Intelligence (AI) algorithms. Initially AI based<br>detections are supervised but ultimately using advanced techniques such as neural networks, UEBA operators are<br>not part of the learning process   | Advanced Level ZT | Implement ML-based Analytics to detect anomalies  | Visibility &<br>Analytics            |                 |                    |
| 7.6.1 | Al-enabled Network Access                           | DoD Organizations utilize the SDN Infrastructure and Enterprise Security Profiles to enable Artificial Intelligence<br>(AI)/Machine Learning (ML) driven network access. Analytics from previous activities is used to teach the AI/ML<br>algorithms improving decision making.  | Advanced Level ZT | Network Access is Al driven based on environment analytics  | Visibility &<br>Analytics            |                 | ×                  |
| 7.6.2 | Al-enabled Dynamic Access Control                   | DoD Organizations utilize previous rule based dynamic access to teach Artificial Intelligence (AI)/Machine<br>Learning (ML) algorithms to make access decision to various resources. The "Al-enabled Network Access" activity<br>algorithms are updated to enable broader decision making to all DAAS.   | Advanced Level ZT | JIT/JEA are integrated with AI; Access is AI driven based on environment<br>analytics   | Visibility &<br>Analytics            |                 | х                  |

